

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/509,506	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OKAMOTO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ernest G. Therkorn	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

 (2) Terryence F. Chapman.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 19 October 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*


Claims discussed:

*The amended claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The examiner required the election of species listed in the examiner's amendment. Upon the election of styrene as the species, the examiner proposed cancelling the non-elected species and invention to place the case in condition for allowance.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)